



# Persistent Actor State

Principles of Functional Programming

Roland Kuhn

## Persistent Actor State

Actors representing a stateful resource

- ▶ shall not lose important state due to (system) failure
- ▶ must persist state as needed
- ▶ must recover state at (re)start

# Persistent Actor State

Actors representing a stateful resource

- ▶ shall not lose important state due to (system) failure
- ▶ must persist state as needed
- ▶ must recover state at (re)start

Two possibilities for persisting state:

- ▶ in-place updates
- ▶ persist changes in append-only fashion

## Changes vs. Current State

Benefits of persisting current state:

- ▶ Recovery of latest state in constant time.
- ▶ Data volume depends on number of records, not their change rate.

## Changes vs. Current State

Benefits of persisting current state:

- ▶ Recovery of latest state in constant time.
- ▶ Data volume depends on number of records, not their change rate.

Benefits of persisting changes:

- ▶ History can be replayed, audited or restored.
- ▶ Some processing errors can be corrected retroactively.
- ▶ Additional insight can be gained on business processes.
- ▶ Writing an append-only stream optimizes IO bandwidth.
- ▶ Changes are immutable and can freely be replicated.

# Snapshots

Immutable snapshots can be used to bound recovery time.

# Persistence Primitive

- ▶ being persistent means “taking notes”

```
persist(MyEvent(...)) { event =>  
  // now <event> is persisted  
  doSomethingWith(event)  
}
```

## Event Example (1)

```
case class NewPost(text: String, id: Long)
case class BlogPosted(id: Long)
case class BlogNotPosted(id: Long, reason: String)

sealed trait Event
case class PostCreated(text: String) extends Event
case object QuotaReached extends Event

case class State(posts: Vector[String], disabled: Boolean) {
  def updated(e: Event): State = e match {
    case PostCreated(text) => copy(posts = posts :+ text)
    case QuotaReached      => copy(disabled = true)
  }
}
```



## Event Example (2)

```
class UserProcessor extends PersistentActor {  
  var state = State(Vector.empty, false)  
  def receiveCommand = {  
    case NewPost(text, id) =>  
      if (state.disabled) sender() ! BlogNotPosted(id, "quota reached")  
      else { persist(PostCreated(text)) { e =>  
        updateState(e)  
        sender() ! BlogPosted(id) }  
        persist(QuotaReached)(updateState) }  
  }  
  def updateState(e: Event) { state = state.updated(e) }  
  def receiveRecover = { case e: Event => updateState(e) }  
}
```

## When to Apply the Events?

- ▶ Applying after persisting leaves actor in stale state.

## When to Apply the Events?

- ▶ Applying after persisting leaves actor in stale state.
- ▶ Applying before persisting means replay can lead to different state.

## When to Apply the Events?

- ▶ Applying after persisting leaves actor in stale state.
- ▶ Applying before persisting means replay can lead to different state.

It is a trade-off between throughput and consistency.

## Event Example (3)

```
case NewPost(text, id) =>
  if (!state.disabled) {
    val created = PostCreated(text)
    update(created)
    update(QuotaReached)
    persistAsync(created)(sender() ! BlogPosted(id))
    persistAsync(QuotaReached)(_ => ())
  } else sender() ! BlogNotPosted(id, "quota reached")
```

## At-Least-Once Delivery (1)

- ▶ Guaranteeing delivery means retrying until successful
- ▶ Retries are the sender's responsibility
- ▶ The recipient needs to acknowledge receipt
- ▶ Lost receipts lead to duplicate deliveries  $\Rightarrow$  *at-least-once*

## At-Least-Once Delivery (1)

- ▶ Guaranteeing delivery means retrying until successful
  - ▶ Retries are the sender's responsibility
  - ▶ The recipient needs to acknowledge receipt
  - ▶ Lost receipts lead to duplicate deliveries  $\Rightarrow$  *at-least-once*
- 
- ▶ Retrying means taking note that the message needs to be sent
  - ▶ Acknowledgement means taking note of the receipt of the confirmation

## At-Least-Once Delivery (2)

```
class UserProcessor(publisher: ActorPath)
  extends PersistentActor with AtLeastOnceDelivery {
  def receiveCommand = {
    case NewPost(text, id) =>
      persist(PostCreated(text)) { e =>
        deliver(publisher, PublishPost(text, _))
        sender() ! BlogPosted(id) }
  }
  def receiveRecover = {
    case PostCreated(text) => deliver(publisher, PublishPost(text, _))
  }
}
```



## At-Least-Once Delivery (3)

```
class UserProcessor(publisher: ActorPath)
  extends PersistentActor with AtLeastOnceDelivery {
  def receiveCommand = {
    case NewPost(text, id) =>
      persist(PostCreated(text)) { e =>
        deliver(publisher, PublishPost(text, _))
        sender() ! BlogPosted(id) }
    case PostPublished(id) => confirmDelivery(id)
  }
  def receiveRecover = {
    case PostCreated(text) => deliver(publisher, PublishPost(text, _))
    case PostPublished(id) => confirmDelivery(id)
  }
}
```

## Exactly-Once Delivery (1)

- ▶ *At-least-once* delivery leads to duplicates at the receiver
- ▶ The receiver needs to remember what it has already done to avoid redoing it

## Exactly-Once Delivery (2)

```
class Publisher extends PersistentActor {  
  var expectedId = 0L  
  def receiveCommand = {  
    case PublishPost(text, id) =>  
      if (id > expectedId) () // ignore, not yet ready for that  
      else if (id < expectedId) sender() ! PostPublished(id)  
      else persist(PostPublished(id)) { e =>  
        sender() ! e  
        // modify website  
        expectedId += 1  
      }  
  }  
  def receiveRecover = { case PostPublished(id) => expectedId = id + 1 }  
}
```

## When to Perform External Effects?

Performing the effect and persisting that it was done cannot be atomic.

- ▶ Perform it before persisting for at-least-once semantics.
- ▶ Perform it after persisting for at-most-once semantics.

This choice needs to be made based on the underlying business model.

## When to Perform External Effects?

Performing the effect and persisting that it was done cannot be atomic.

- ▶ Perform it before persisting for at-least-once semantics.
- ▶ Perform it after persisting for at-most-once semantics.

This choice needs to be made based on the underlying business model.

If processing is *idempotent* then using at-least-once semantics achieves *effectively exactly-once processing*.

## Summary

- ▶ Actors persist facts that represent changes to their state.
- ▶ Events can be replicated and used to inform other components.
- ▶ Recovery replays past events to reconstruct state; snapshots reduce this cost.